

CS Ph.D. Qualifying Exam in CSE

1 Data Analysis

1. We are interested in ranking (ordering) a set of items, where for an item x is also associated a numerical grade y , and we assume y takes a finite number of values. Assume (x, y) is distributed according to $P(x, y)$, and one way to rank a set of items is to use the condition mean

$$c(x) = \sum_y yP(y|x),$$

as the ranking function, where $P(y|x)$ is the conditional probability, and the summation is over all distinct y values. This is to say, given a set of items $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we sort the values $\{c(x_1), \dots, c(x_n)\}$ from small to large which induces a ranking (ordering) for $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

We want to investigate whether the ranking (ordering) will change if we instead use

$$c_f(x) = \sum_y f(y)P(y|x)$$

as the ranking function, where f is a strictly monotonically increasing function.

- 1) Show if f is linear, using $c(x)$ and $c_f(x)$ as the ranking functions produces the same ranking (ordering).
 - 2) Show if y can take exactly two distinct values, for an arbitrary f which is strictly monotonically increasing, using $c(x)$ and $c_f(x)$ as the ranking functions produces the same ranking (ordering).
 - 3) Show 2) is not true if y can take more than two distinct values.
2. Two key limitations of EM-based algorithm for learning Gaussian mixtures are:
 - i. The number of mixture components has to be specified in advance.
 - ii. The EM approach may find only a local minimum in the data likelihood.

For both of these limitations answer the following questions.

- (a) Describe approaches that can, in part at least, address the limitations.
- (b) Discuss one significant strength of each of your approaches.
- (c) Discuss one significant weakness of each of your approaches.